Incorporating Correlated Observation Errors in Variational Data Assimilation



Nancy Nichols*

Jemima Tabeart*, Sarah Dance*, Amos Lawless*, Joanne Waller* Stefano Migliorini**, Fiona Smith**, Sue Ballard**









Observation Errors



Observation Error Covariance Matrix

- Observation errors assumed uncorrelated in data assimilation
- Observation errors in real data are found to be correlated (Stewart et al, 2009, 2013; Bormann et al, 2010; Waller et al, 2013, 2014a.)
- Using observation error correlations in data assimilation is shown to improve the analysis (*Stewart et al, 2008, 2010, 2014; Weston, 2014.*)





Observation Errors

It is important to be able to account for observation error correlations:

- More of available data used (avoids thinning)
- More information content
- Better analysis accuracy
- Improved NWP forecast skill scores





Observation Errors

It is important to be able to account for observation error correlations:

- More of available data used (avoids thinning)
- More information content
- Better analysis accuracy
- Improved NWP forecast skill scores

Error correlations can be diagnosed by techniques such as Deroziers et al (DBCP) or Hollingsworth-Lönnberg





Problems for DA:



Diagnosed correlation matrices:

- Non-symmetric
- Variances too small
- Not positive-definite
- Very ill-conditioned





Problems for DA:



Diagnosed correlation matrices:

- Non-symmetric
- Variances too small
- Not positive-definite
- Very ill-conditioned

Result: including observation error correlations in 4DVar slows convergence catastrophically





Problems for DA:



Diagnosed correlation matrices:

- Non-symmetric
- Variances too small
- Not positive-definite
- Very ill-conditioned

Aim: to understand / enable the use of (diagnosed) correlated observation errors in DA





Optimal Bayesian Estimate

Minimize with respect to initial state \mathbf{x}_0 :

$$J(\mathbf{x}_0) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}_0^b)^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}_0^b) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}_0) - \mathbf{y})^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} (\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}_0) - \mathbf{y})$$

- Background state **x**₀^b
- Observations **y**
- \bullet Observation operator ${\cal H}$
- Error covariance matrices **B**, **R**

The solution at the minimum, x^a, is the analysis.







Sensitivity of the Problem

Rate of convergence and accuracy of the solution are bounded in terms of the condition number of the Hessian of the variational cost function:

$$\kappa(\mathbf{S}) = \lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{S})/\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{S})$$

where λ denotes an eigenvalue and the Hessian is:

$$\mathbf{\hat{S}} = \mathbf{B}^{-1} + (\mathbf{H})^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{H}$$





Conditioning of Hessian

We can establish the following theorem:

Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ and $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$, with p < N, be the background and observation error covariance matrices respectively. Additionally, let $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times N}$ be the observation operator. Then the following bounds are satisfied by the condition number of the Hessian, $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{B}^{-1} + \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{H}$,

$$\frac{\kappa(\mathbf{B})}{\left(1+\frac{\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{B})}{\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{R})}\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^{T})\right)} \leq \kappa(\mathbf{S}) \leq \left(1+\frac{\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{B})}{\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{R})}\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^{T})\right)\kappa(\mathbf{B}).$$

Haben et al, 2011; Haben 2011, Tabeart, 2016, Tabeart et al, 2018





Conditioning of Hessian

We can establish the following theorem:

Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ and $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$, with p < N, be the background and observation error covariance matrices respectively. Additionally, let $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times N}$ be the observation operator. Then the following bounds are satisfied by the condition number of the Hessian, $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{B}^{-1} + \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{H}$,

$$\frac{\kappa(\mathbf{B})}{\left(1+\frac{\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{B})}{\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{R})}\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^{T})\right)} \leq \kappa(\mathbf{S}) \leq \left(1+\frac{\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{B})}{\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{R})}\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^{T})\right)\kappa(\mathbf{B}).$$

Now the upper bound grows as $\frac{1}{\lambda_{min}(R)}$ grows and depends also on the observation operator.

Haben et al, 2011; Haben 2011, Tabeart, 2016, Tabeart et al, 2018





Summary: Conditioning of the Problem

We find that the condition number of S increases as:

- the observations become more accurate
- the observation spacing decreases
- the prior (background) becomes less accurate
- the prior error correlation length scales increase
- the observation error covariance becomes ill-conditioned

Haben et al, 2011; Haben 2011, Tabeart, 2016, Tabeart et al, 2018





Reconditioning R

To improve the conditioning of R (and S) we alter the eigenstructure of R so as to obtain a specified condition number for the modified covariance matrix by:

- Ridge regression add constant to all diagonal elements.
- Eigenvalue modification: increase the smallest eigenvalues of R to a threshold value that ensures the desired condition number, keeping the rest unchanged.

Details given in talk by Jemima Tabeart.





Operational Tests - Met Office

Experiments using the Met Office 1-DVar Observation Pre-processing System (OPS) for retrievals:

- Aim to test qualitative conclusions in an operational system.
- Focus on observations from IASI (Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer) instrument (on MetOp-A satellite). Note the observation operator is non-linear in this case.
- Investigate how changing the minimum eigenvalue of R affects the convergence of the iterations – we only show results using the ridge regression method.





Results - 1



The method of reconditioning is that described in Algorithm 1. Figure (a) depicts how the ridge regression method changes the eigenvalues of the covariance matrix \mathbf{R}^{137} . Eigenvalues of the raw Desroziers \mathbf{R}_{unpre}^{137} are shown in blue, and those of \mathbf{R}_{RC}^{137} reconditioned so that $\kappa(\mathbf{R}_{RC}) = 67$ are shown in green. Figure (b) compares the standard deviations of \mathbf{R}_{old}^{137} (red), \mathbf{R}_{unpre}^{137} (blue), and \mathbf{R}_{67}^{137} (green).









 R_{raw} – Raw (symmetrised) matrix; R_{old} – Old MO diagonal matrix; \mathbf{R}_{ctrl} – Current MO diagonal; R_{67} – Reconditioned with $\kappa = 67$;







Results - 3



Shown are the retrieved temperature and humidity profiles for 4 different choices of R: R_{oper} , R_{unpre} , R500 and R67.





Summary: Operational Experiments

- Investigated the effects including observation error correlations in Met Office 1-D Var system.
- Impact on temperature retrievals was minimal, the impact on humidity retrievals much larger.
- Reducing the condition number of R reduces the number of iterations required for convergence.
- Decreasing the observation error variance increases the required number of iterations.

Tabeart, 2016; Tabeart et al, in prep





Future 19 Many more challenges left!





References

• Bormann N and Bauer P. *2010*. Estimates of spatial and interchannel observation-error characteristics for current sounder radiances for numerical weather prediction. I: Methods and application to ATOVS data. *QJ Royal Meteor Soc*, 136:1036–1050.

- Bormann N, Collard A, Bauer P. *2010*. Estimates of spatial and interchannel observationerror characteristics for current sounder radiances for numerical weather prediction II:application to AIRS and IASI data. *QJ Royal Meteor Soc* 136: 1051 – 1063.
- Desroziers G, Berre L, Chapnik B, Poli, P. *2005*. Diagnosis of observation, background and analysis-error 131: 3385 3396.
- Desroziers, G, Berre, L and Chapnik, B. 2009. Objective validation of data assimilation systems: diagnosing sub-optimality. In: *Proceedings of ECMWF Workshop on diagnostics of data assimilation system performance*,15-17 June 2009.
- Hodyss D and Nichols NK. 2015. Errors of representation: basic understanding, *Tellus A*, 67, 24822 (17 pp)
- Haben SA, Lawless, AS and Nichols NK. *2011*. Conditioning of incremental variational data assimilation, with application to the Met Office system, *Tellus*, **63A**, 782 792.
- Haben SA. *2011* Conditioning and Preconditioning of the Minimisation Problem in Variational Data Assimilation, PhD thesis, Dept of Mathematics & Statistics, University of Reading.
- M'enard R, Yang Y and Rochon Y. 2009. Convergence and stability of estimated error variances derived from assimilation residuals in observation space. In: *Proceedings of ECMWF Workshop on diagnostics of data assimilation system performance*,15-17 June 2009.





• Stewart LM, Dance, SL and Nichols NK. 2008. Correlated observation errors in data assimilation. Int J for Numer Methods in Fluids, 56:1521–1527.

• Stewart LM, Cameron J, Dance SL, English S, Eyre JR, Nichols NK. *2009*. Observation error correlations in IASI radiance data. University of Reading. Dept of Mathematics & Statistics, Mathematics Report 1/2009.

•Stewart LM. 2010. Correlated observation errors in data assimilation. PhD thesis, Dept of Mathematics & Statistics, University of Reading.

• Stewart LM, Dance SL, Nichols NK. *2013*. Data assimilation with correlated observation errors: experiments with a 1-D shallow water model *Tellus A*, 65;19546 (14 pp). doi:10.3402/tellusa.v65i0.19546

• Stewart LM, Dance SL, Nichols NK, Eyre JR, Cameron J. *2014*. Estimating interchannel observation error correlations for IASI radiance data in the Met Office system. *QJ Royal Meteor Soc*, 140:1236-1244.

• Tabeart JM, Dance SL, Haben SA, Lawless AS, Nichols NK and Waller JA. *2018*. The conditioning of least squares problems in variational data assimilation, *Numer Lin Alg. Appl.*, 2018;e2165 (22pp).

• Tabeart JM. *2016.* On the variational data assimilation problem with non-diagonal observation weighting matrices. MRes thesis, Dept of Mathematics & Statistics, University of Reading.

• Waller JA. *2013*, Using observations at different spatial scales in data assimilation for environmental prediction, PhD thesis, Dept of Mathematics & Statistics, University of Reading.





• Waller JA, Dance SL, Lawless AS, Nichols NK and Eyre JR. *2014a*. Representativity error for temperature and humidity using the Met Office high resolution model. *QJ Royal Meteor Soc*, 140:1189-1197.

• Waller JA, Dance SL, Lawless AS and Nichols NK. *2014b*. Estimating correlated observation errors with and ensemble transform Kalman filter. *Tellus* A, **66**, 23294 (15 pp).

• Waller JA, Dance SL and Nichols NK. *2016a.* Theoretical insight into diagnosing observation error correlations using background and analysis innovation statistics, *QJ Royal Meteor Soc,* 142 (694), pp. 418-431.

• Waller JA, Simonin D, Dance SL, Nichols NK and Ballard SP. *2016b.* Diagnosing observation error correlations for Doppler radar radial winds in the Met Office UKV model using observation-minus-background and observation-minus-analysis statistics. *Monthly Weather Review*, doi: 10.1175/MWR-D-15-0340.1. (*in press*)

• Waller JA, Ballard SP, Dance SL, Kelly G, Nichols NK and Simonin D. *2016c*. Diagnosing horizontal and inter-channel observation error correlations for SEVIRI observations using observation-minus-background and observation-minus-analysis statistics. *Remote Sens*ing, 8, 581 (14pp).

• Weston PP, Bell W, and Eyre JR. 2014. Accounting for correlated error in the assimilation of high-resolution sounder data. *QJ Royal Meteor Soc,* doi: 10.1002/qj.2306.

• Wattrelot E, Montmerle T and Guerrero CG. *2012*. Evolution of the assimilation of radar data in the AROME model at convective scale. In Proceedings of the 7th European Conference on Radar in Meteorology and Hydrology.











